

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,292	09/12/2003	Harrison Robert Murphy	2138.001B	7437	
23405	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
HESLIN RO	THENBERG FARLE	CONLEY, FREDRICK C			
ALBANY, N		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3673		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					14		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	1		
		10/661,29	92	MURPHY ET AL.			
Off	fice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		FREDRIC	K C CONLEY	3673			
The N Period for Reply	NAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress		
THE MAILIN  - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) Mo  - If the period for  - If NO period for  - Failure to reply Any reply recei	IED STATUTORY PERIOD FO G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ime may be available under the provisions of ONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- reply specified above is less than thirty (30 or reply is specified above, the maximum stat within the set or extended period for reply wed by the Office later than three months af erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evi unication. ) days, a reply within the stat tutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed	d on 19 November 2	004.				
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of (	Claims						
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim( 6) ☑ Claim( 7) ☐ Claim(	(s) 3,21-26 and 28-30 is/are petthe above claim(s) is/are s) is/are allowed. (s) 3 21-26 28-30 is/are rejecte (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co	nsideration.				
Application Par	pers						
9)∏ The sp	ecification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	wledgment is made of a claim f b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documenal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)							
	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date			
3) Information D	isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		D-152)		

Art Unit: 3673

### Response to Amendment

The indicated allowability of claims 3 and 28 are withdrawn and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 21-22, 25, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,504,991 to Klancnik in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,762,750 to Girgis et al.

Claim 3 , Kancnik discloses an open flame resistant mattress comprising a fire barrier fabric at least partially enclosing a core 75 of said mattress, said fire barrier fabric comprising a fire barrier layer 22 of neoprene foam and backing 24 of fiberglass and a thermally insulating layer 26. With regards to the fire barrier layer and thermally insulating layer independently comprising at least one char-forming flame-retardant fiber, Applicant discloses in the specification that fiberglass is a char forming flame resistant material. Therefore, combo-layer 22/24 meets the limitations of char-forming flame retardant fiber. Klancnik fails to disclose the fire barrier fabric comprising a textile. Girgis discloses fibers strands and yarns used in textile applications (col. 1 lines 14-46). It would have been obvious to employ the applications as taught by Girgis in order to

Art Unit: 3673

improve the fibers used in the mattress of Klancnik while taking advantage of the non-flamability and stability. Klancnick also fails to disclose the mattress resisting an open flame under conditions of California TB 603. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the mattress resist an open flame under conditions of California TB 603 in order to for the mattress of Klancnick to meet the standards for mattresses sold in the State of California as of January 1, 2004.

Claims 21-22 and 25, wherein said at least one flame-retardant fiber is fiberglass.

Claim 27, wherein the fire barrier fabric at least partially encloses the filling materials (fig. 4).

Claim 28, Kancnik discloses an open flame resistant mattress comprising a fire barrier fabric at least partially enclosing a core 75 of said mattress, said fire barrier fabric comprising a fire barrier layer 22 of neoprene foam and backing 24 of fiberglass and a thermally insulating layer 26. With regards to the fire barrier layer and thermally insulating layer independently comprising at least one char-forming flame-retardant fiber, Applicant discloses in the specification that fiberglass is a char forming flame resistant material. Therefore, combo-layer 22/24 meets the limitations of char-forming flame retardant fiber. Klancnik fails to disclose the fire barrier fabric comprising a textile. Girgis discloses fibers strands and yarns used in textile applications (col. 1 lines 14-46). It would have been obvious to employ the applications as taught by Girgis in order to improve the fibers used in the mattress of Klancnik while taking advantage of the non-flamability and stability. Klancnick also fails to disclose the mattress resisting an open

Art Unit: 3673

flame under conditions of California TB 117. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the mattress resist an open flame under conditions of California TB 117 in order for the mattress of Klancnick to meet the standards for institutional mattresses sold in the State of California.

Claims 29-30, wherein the article is selected from a mattress.

Claims 23-24, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 4,504,991 to Klancnik in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,762,750 to Girgis et al., and U.S. Pat. No. 6,410,140 to Land et al.

Claim 23-24 and 26, Klancnik discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for the at least one flame-retardant fiber comprising para-aramid fibers and a blend of flame-retardant viscose and modacrylic fibers. Land discloses one flame-retardant fiber comprising para-aramid fibers (col. 4 lines 46-47)(col. 5 lines 24-35). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the material as taught by Land with the mattress of Klancnick in order to provide a fire resistant fabric having a feel and surface characteristic of upholstered fabrics.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 21-26, and 28-30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,292

Art Unit: 3673

#### Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FREDRICK C CONLEY whose telephone number is 703-308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HEATHER SHACKELFORD can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FC (\\_

> HEATHER SHACKELFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600